ERRATUM

Open Access



Erratum to: psychometric evaluation of the Mental Health Continuum-Short Form (MHC-SF) in Chinese adolescents – a methodological study

Cheng Guo^{1*}, Göran Tomson^{1,2}, Jizhi Guo³, Xiangyun Li³, Christina Keller⁴ and Fredrik Söderqvist^{5,6}

Unfortunately, the original version of this article [1] contained two mistakes. The text on page 5 reading, "Floor effects were negligible for all items (2 %–14 %) while substantial ceiling effects were observed except Item 4 (30 %–40 %)" should instead have read, "Floor effects were negligible (2 %–14 %) while substantial ceiling effects were observed for all items (30 %–40 %) except Item 4".

In Table 5 (Table 1 here), there is a mistake in the ordering of items. The corrected table can be seen below.

Author details

¹Medical Management Centre, Department of Learning, Informatics, Management and Ethics, Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm, Sweden. ²Department of Public Health Sciences, Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm, Sweden. ³School of Management, Weifang Medical University, Weifang, China. ⁴Jönköping University, International Business School, Jönköping, Sweden. ⁵Center for Clinical Research, Uppsala University, County hospital, Västerås, Sweden. ⁶Competence Center for Health, County Council of Västmanland, Västerås Hospital, Västerås, Sweden.

Received: 28 December 2015 Accepted: 29 December 2015 Published online: 07 January 2016

Reference

 Guo C, Tomson G, Guo J, Li X, Keller C, Söderqvist F. Psychometric evaluation of the Mental Health Continuum-Short Form (MHC-SF) in Chinese adolescents – a methodological study. Health Qual Life Outcomes. 2015;13:198. doi:10.1186/s12955-015-0394-2.

Table 1 Distribution in percentage on the lowest and highest rating scale for the items

ltem	Label	Lowest rating	Highest rating
ltem 1	Happiness, joy	1.5 %	30.8 %
ltem 2	Interested in life	1.7 %	34.1 %
Item 3	Content/satisfied	3.0 %	30.5 %
ltem 4	That you have something important to contribute to the society	8.9 %	12.9 %
ltem 5	That you belong to a community	13.9 %	33.9 %
ltem 6	That our society is becoming a better place for all people	8.2 %	31.7 %
ltem 7	That people are basically good	5.7 %	32.6 %
ltem 8	That the way society works is logical	5.3 %	32.5 %
ltem 9	That you like most of your personality	3.3 %	39.8 %
ltem 10	That you are good at managing responsibility for your daily life	3.0 %	36.2 %
ltem11	That you have warm and confident relationships with others	2.8 %	37.1 %
ltem12	That you experience things that will make you grow as a person	3.6 %	29.4 %
ltem13	That you have the confidence to have your own thoughts and that you dare to express them	4.1 %	32.1 %
ltem14	That life has a purpose	2.9 %	36.9 %

* Correspondence: guo@ki.se

¹Medical Management Centre, Department of Learning, Informatics, Management and Ethics, Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm, Sweden

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



© 2016 Guo et al. **Open Access** This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated.