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The original article [1] contains two errors in the following two sentences in the Results section, specifically for the phrases ‘greater than 4’:

‘The threshold for classification of loneliness was determined to be a median item score of less than 4, and for social isolation a median item score of greater than 4, as this represents having a majority level of agreement (for loneliness items) or disagreement (for social isolation items).’

‘For social isolation, a threshold median score of greater than 4 resulted in 6% of respondents being classified as socially isolated in each of the waves.’

The two phrases should instead state ‘less than 4’ as demonstrated in the two following amended sentences:

‘The threshold for classification of loneliness was determined to be a median item score of less than 4, and for social isolation a median item score of less than 4, as this represents having a majority level of agreement (for loneliness items) or disagreement (for social isolation items).’

‘For social isolation, a threshold median score of less than 4 resulted in 6% of respondents being classified as socially isolated in each of the waves.’
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